INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
TANGE, Kunji	19596
Direct by Mr. SOMIYA Cross by Dr. AO Redirect by Mr. SOMIYA	19596 19604 19611
(Witness excused)	19612
ARIMA, Narisuke	19613
Direct by Mr. Roberts	19613
MORNING RECESS	19615
Direct by Mr. Roberts (cont'd)	19616
(Witness excused)	19616
OYAMA, Ayao	19616
Direct by Mr. BANNO Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr	1961 6 19628
NOON RECESS	19636
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	19639
AFTERNOON RECESS	19659
Redirect by Mr. BANNO	19660
(Witness excused)	19662

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

(cont'd)

Defense' Witnesses	Page
The second secon	19664
Direct by Mr. HAYASHI	19664 19670

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident. Evidence
	2422		Affidavit of TANGE, Kunji	19599
897	2423		Affidavit of OYAMA, Ayao	19617
869	2424		Affidavit of WACHI, Takaji	19665

Wednesday, 9 April 1947 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, same as before. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. (English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

Morse & Wolf

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

22

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA, TOGO and OKA. We have certificates from the surgeon of Sugamo Prison to the effect that the accused TOGO and OKA are ill and unable to attend the Trial today. The certificates will be recorded and filed.

Mr. Lazarus.

MR. LAZARUS: Mr. President, I am the chairman of the Chinese phase which will follow the Manchurian phase, and of the Russian phase which will follow the China phase.

In the interest of saving time in the presentation of our evidence, Mr. President, I respectfully ask permission to make a suggestion for a slight change in the present rules of procedure. We think, sir, that it would be best if we could have, where possible, an American counsel to read the affidavit and to have a Japanese counsel conduct the redirect examination if such may be deemed necessary. At present, whoever conducts the direct examination must also conduct the redirect examination. In other words, the American counsel reading the affidavit must also handle the redirect. We will, if necessary sir, designate

both counsel in advance, if the Court so desires, who will conduct the direct and who the redirect.

THE PRESIDENT: Why is it necessary, Mr. Lazarus?

MR. LAZARUS: We think the suggestion would save time, sir. If an American counsel read the affidavit he could read much more rapidly and the Japanese could be read over the intercom much more rapidly by the translators.

THE PRESIDENT: I see.

MR. LAZARUS: Also, sir, the qualifying of the witness can be handled much more rapidly by an American counsel and the reading of the affidavit could be followed much more easily, we respectfully suggest, by the Tribunal if it were read from the lectern in English, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: The Judges have found nothing wrong with the present system, but a majority of the Judges are prepared to adopt your suggestion. Having adopted rules we are reluctant to depart from them unless it is necessary or highly desirable; but your suggestion will be given a trial.

MR. LAZARUS: Thank you, sir. We won't use it all the time but we do think we will use it most of the time, Mr. President. We do think it will save

some time, sir. MR. ROBERTS: Mr. President. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts. MR. ROBERTS: We now call the witness, TANGE, Kunji, who will be examined by Mr. SOMIYA. THE PRESIDENT: Counsel SOMIYA. MR. SOMIYA: I ask for the summoning of witness as witness of TANGE, Kunji.

.

KUNJI TANGE, called as a witness 1 on behalf of the defense, being first 2 duly sworn, testified through Japanese 3 interpreters as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. SOMIYA: 6 Your name? Q 7 A TANGE, Kunji. 8 Q Your age? 9 I am 63. 10 Q Your address? 11 My address is 2372 Midorigaoka, Meguro-ku, 12 Tokyo. 13 MR. SOMIYA: May the witness be shown defense 14 document No. 926. 15 (Whereupon, the document above 16 referred to was handed to the witness.) 17 Mr. Witness, did you write that affidavit? 18 A Yes. 19 Q Is the signature and the seal your signature 20 and seal? 21 A Yes. 22 MR. SOMIYA: I present defense document No. 23 926 as evidence. 24 THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution object to defense document No. 926 in its entirety, which document deals with the Nanking Incident of the 1st of February, 1932. That particular Incident has been fully explored by the Lytton Commission, and, an examination of pages 87 and 88 of the report of that Commission disclose that the facts of this particular Incident are set out with some particularity based upon the evidence from both Japanese and Chinese sources adduced before the Commission.

In addition, the views of the opposing parties as to the origin of the Incident are set out with particularity and in detail. This affidavit, in our submission, adds nothing to what is contained in the report, is repetitive and should be rejected in its entirety.

MR. ROBERTS: In the first place, the prosecution saw fit to produce witnesses on the shelling of Nanking. The second point we want to make is these defendants are not bound by the Lytton Report in its entirety. We submit, we have a right ---

THE PRESIDENT: We do not hold ourselves bound by the findings in the Lytton Report. It is some evidence of the facts.

1 2

3 4

MR. ROBERTS: However --

THE PRESIDENT: If the facts in the Lytton Report cover the statement in the affidavit about to be tendered, this affidavit is probably repatitive. But we have an advantage here that we would not have if we confined ourselves to the Lytton Report to the facts covered by this witness. We see him.

MR. ROBERTS: That is right.

THE PRESIDENT: I think I better use about three words at a time.

MR. ROBERTS: In addition --

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear him cross-examined and his demeanor may be important.

This decision will cover similar cases, but we hope you won't take advantage of it to call witnesses unnecessarily.

The objection is overruled and the affidavit admitted on the usual terms. I notice the affidavit is taken before a Mr. SOMIYA. Is that you, counselor?

MR. SOMIYA: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Such an affidavit would not be admitted in a British court, but there is no objection to it here.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 926 will receive exhibit No. 2422.

3

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2422 and received in evidence.)

-

MR. SOMIYA: I shall read Court exhibit 2422: "Affidavit.

"I retired from the Navy in November 1936 with the title of Rear-Admiral, and have been engaged in business since then till the termination of the war.

"I was appointed ceptain of the cruiser Hirato (approximately 5,000 tons) in December 1930. The cruiser Hirato was attached to the First Oversess Service Squadron at that time and was engaged in the guarding of the Yangtze River waters. Since the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident in September 1931 the anti-Japanese movement became acute in the districts along the Yangtze River, including Shanghai, Nanking and Hankow.

"On January 27, 1932, the cruiser Hirsto, which had been anchored at Shanghai, weighed anchor and reached Nanking on the 28th. At the time of departure Rear-Admiral SHIOZAWA, Koichi, commander of the Squadron, instructed me as follows:

taking the policy of localizing the Manchurian
Incident. Attend to the protection of the residents
in Nanking with the utmost care and prudence so that
no trouble may be caused.

"With the news of the outbreak of the Shanghai

1 2

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

0

Incident on January 29, 1932, the Japanese consul, the resident military and navel officers, and all the other Japanese residents took refuge in the Japanese steamship Un-Yo-Maru as an emergency measure. The luggage of the residents was carried to a wharf of the Nisshin Steamship Company, where it was guarded by a section of the landing forces dispatched from the cruiser Tenryu. On the Yangtze River off Nanking there were at that time six Japanese naval vessels including the cruisers Hirato, Tenryu and Tsushima and three destroyers together with one British wership, one American destroyer and about ten Chinese warships.

"In the early morning of January 29th Commander SHIOZAWA sent a message, through myself, to Admiral Chen Shao-Kuan, the Chief of the Chinese Navy Department, in which he stated, 'Although an untoward incident has broken out in Shanghai, Japan does not regard China as an enemy, but is merely hostile towards the 19th Route Army which has assumed a defiant attitude toward Japan. I hope the intimate friendship between China and Japan may be continued as before.' To this Admiral Chen Shao-Kuan replied that he agreed with Commander SHIOZAWA and that he would see to it that when passing by a Japanese warship the Chinese vessels would

avoid any action which might cause a misunderstanding. In the morning of February 1st, Captain Kao Hsien-chia, Captain of the Chinese warship Haiyung paid us a visit and said it was regrettable that the 19th Route Army was fighting the Japanese in Shanghai, and it was the desire of the Chinese Navy to remain on friendly terms with the Japanese. In the afternoon I went to return his call. And otherwise everything went on as usual.

"At about eleven o'clock in the night of
February 1st, there was firing from the Shihtzeshan
Battery in the line of forts near Nanking. Shots were
heard from the wharf of the Nisshin Steamship Commany.
Just then I perceived our comrade vessels Tenryu and
Tsushima returing the fire, and then we received a
request for assistance from the section guarding the
wharf. The above-mentioned shots from the wharf were
heard almost simultaneously with the bombardment from
Shihtzeshan Battery and it was the sound of rifle fire
and grenades coming from a point on the Chinese side
near the wharf. One of our third grade seamen was
killed and another wounded as a result of the firing.

"To cope with this situation, I, being the commander-in-chief, ordered the steamship Un-Yo-Maru (anchored alongside the wharf of the Nisshin Steamship Company) to weigh anchor, as I was worried about the

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

possible danger to the residents. I also commanded the section guarding the wharf to return to the comrade vessel Tenryu. Since no more attacks from the bettery followed, I ordered our warships to 'hold fire' and then to 'cease firing.' We informed the British and American warships, which were anchored at the place, of the situation.

"We observed the situation until morning with every precaution. However, the skirmish seemed to have come to an end, as there was no further bombard-ment from the fort. We had merely shelled several times in response. The distance between the Shihtzeshan Battery and Japanese warships was two thousand meters. We lodged a protest with the Chinese authorities through our consul and requested them to make reparation for the death of the sailor and for the luggage carried off the whard.

"TANGE, Kunji.

"This 28th day of March 1947."

Q Are there any errors in the affidavit as I just read it?

A No errors.

THE PRESIDENT: Any cross-examination?

Mr. Ao.

DR. AO: If the Court please, I desire to ask

_

-

-

the witness a few questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY DR. AO:

Q Mr. TANGE, on page 1 of your effidevit you stated that at the time of your departure from Shanghai to Nanking on January 27, 1932, you were instructed by your commander SHIOZAWA that you must afford protection to the Japanese residents in Nanking "with the utmost care and prudence so that no trouble may be caused," were you not?

A Yes, I received such instructions.

Q On page 2 of your direct, middle of the page, you also stated that courtesy calls were exchanged between the Chinese and the Japanese authorities and everything went on as usual, was it not?

. A Yes.

Q Now, in spite of the instructions you received and the normal relations then existing you did land a neval force on shore, did you?

A The navel landing party was not landed on shore but on --

THE INTERPRETER: We are stuck on a certain technical term.

A (Continuing) The naval landing party was not landed on shore but on a "something" to protect the

3

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Duda & Whalen

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

Q Mr. TANGE, did you know that your landing naval forces on shore had drawn protests from the Chinese authorities? Did you know that?

A I do not know.

Q Did you know that the Japanese consul at Nanking said he was unable to do anything in the matter? Did you know that?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: If your Honor please, the manner of the question of getting testimony in of the Japanese consul I think is improper, and I object to the question on that ground.

THE PRESIDENT: I think he is entitled to put his own evidence to the witness for acceptance or rejection. That is common enough.

MR. ROBERTS: He is assuming a state of facts which are not in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand that comes from the Lytton Report which, by the way, also says there was a landing on the wharf.

The objection is overruled.

MR. ROBERTS: I did not understand the statement that he made as coming from the consul as being from the Lytton Report. That was the reason for my objection.

14

13

15

17

18

20

21

23

TANGE

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

Q Now, Mr. TANGE, did you know that Nanking, unlike Shanghai or Hankow where there were international settlements and concessions, was but only a treaty port, you are not supposed to land naval forces on shore? Did you know that?

MR. ROBERTS: I object to that question, if your Honor please, as calling for an opinion from this witness, and also being outside the scope of the examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Will the reporter read that question, please?

(Whereupon, the question was read back by the official court reporter.)

THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that question is allowable. Objection overruled.

Q Now, Mr. TANGE -THE PRESIDENT: Well, he hasn't answered it,
has he?

THE WITNESS: Must I answer that question?
THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

A I think it is quite proper to land the naval landing party if and when necessary to protect residents.

Q Mr. TANGE: In the last paragraph on page 2

3

4

5

6

.

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

of your affidavit you stated that shots were heard from the wharf of the Nisshin Steamship Company. What time was it?

- A About 11 o'clock.
- Q Was it at night?
- A About 11 o'clock at night.

THE PRESIDENT: Don't get him to repeat what he said in his affidevit. He says it was about 11 o'clock on the night of the 1st of February. Leave it at that unless you question it.

Q Now, in view of the position at what you said in the affidavit you were two thousand meters away from the steamship company wharf, and at the time the visibility, would it be possible that your landing forces were doing the firing?

A I am sorry, I am unable to hear over this machine.

THE PRESIDENT: Make sure the witness'
earphones are in order. We can't have him misapprehending questions and giving wrong answers.

THE MONITOR: Will the American reporter repeat that question again. We would like to retranslate it because the witness may have misunderstood it.

(Whereupon, the question was read

back by the official court reporter.)

MR. ROBERTS: I object to the question, first because the statement that the warship was two thousand meters from the steamship was incorrect, in that the affidavit states in the last paragraph that it was the distance between the Shihtzeshan Battery and the warships, not the steamship company.

Q Now, I would like to change my question.

How far was it from your ship to the wharf, to the
Nisshin Steamship Company wharf?

A About seven hundred meters.

Q Now, I come back to my last question. In view of the position, the visibility, the time, would it be possible that the Japanese naval forces were doing the firing?

MR. ROBERTS: I object to asking him what was possible.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled.

Of course, he can ask or suggest that there
was a mistake.

A There is no case of the Japanese side firing.

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

REDIRECT

Q Would it be also possible that the excited

Chinese population in Nanking that night were firing
crackers celebrating a supposed victory at Shanghai?

Would it be possible?

THE PRESIDENT: That question is pointless
from the prosecution's viewpoint. It only tends to
excuse what was cone, if it is excusable. I can
understand the defense putting questions like that to
raise reasonable doubt, but I cannot understand the

Q Will you please answer my question? THE PRESIDENT: No, don't.

DR. AO: That concludes my cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel SOMIYA.

MR. SOMIYA: I shall ask the witness a,

question.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOMIYA:

prosecution.

Q The position of the landing party, was it on the wharf or on the pier?

A On the wharf.

THE INTERPRETER: Correction: "The position of the landing party, was it on the pier or on the hulk?"

The witness' reply: "The hulk."

Q What is the difference between a hulk and a

3

20

22

23 24

pier? Would you please answer?

A The hulk is sort of a float which looks somewhat like a barge and this is tied to the land, and between these barge-like hulks there is a pier to which these barges are tied to create sort of a thoroughfare.

- We Then the hulk is on water, is it not?
- A Yes.
- Q Then, is it that the landing party was not on land but on water on the hulk?
 - A Yes, it was on water.

MR. SOMIYA: No more questions.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is excused on the usual terms. I understand that after three-quarters of an hour we are just where the Lytton Report left it, on page 88.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. SOMIYA: May I state to the Tribunal that in the translation of the affidavit, "hulk" is mistranslated as "wharf."

THE PRESIDENT: We will refer it to the Language Section.

MR. SOMIYA: Mr. Roberts will continue with the presentation of evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ROBERTS: We now call the witness ARIMA, Narisuke.

2

1

3

NARISUKE ARIMA, called as a witness
on behalf of the defense, being first duly
sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
as follows:

7

DIRECT EXAMINATION

8

BY MR. ROBERTS:

Tokyo.

9

10

11

--

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

25

0 100-14 1- ----

Q What is your name?

A ARIMA, Seiho.

Where do you live?

A 225 Hozawa-machi, Itchome, Setasaya-ku,

MR. ROBERTS: I ask that the witness be shown defense document No. 919.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q Will you please look at defense document 919 and tell us whether or not that is your affidavit?

This is my affidavit.

MR. ROBERTS: I offer it in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: We have no copies.

Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal,

in this document No. 919, the deponent sets out a description of a trip he made to Shanghai in February of 1932. He then proceeds to express his opinion as to the basic cause of the war in China. In support of that view he refers to certain anti-Japanese movements which developed in China and to the manner in which cases were handled in a certain court in that country. In further support, he quotes verbatim from the speech of a civic official in Shanghai, which official expresses his opinion as to what was actually the cause of the outbreak of hostilities in that country. And, finally, he supports his opinion by quoting an excerpt from a book which is not produced, the work of a Chinese author.

In the submission of the prosecution, this document offends against those principles which have been laid down by the Tribunal for the preparation of affidavits. We submit that it is a mixture of quotation and argument, and should be rejected in its entirety.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: The facts to be related by this witness were obtained on a spot investigation in Shanghai at the time that the incident was taking place. He was sent there for the purpose of seeing

the parties involved and getting the facts firsthand.

In any case where authorities are referred to, this witness is prepared to submit and show to the Court the documentary proof, which will be marked for identification.

These facts as related by this witness and coming from third persons who are not Japanese, will substantiate the contention of the defense. In addition thereto, under the Charter investigative reports are admissible.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document as having no probative value.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Spratt & Yelde

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROPERTS: The defense--

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): Mr. President, with the permission of the Tribunal we submit the following language correction: Exhibit 2422, substitute "hulk" for "wharf" in the following places: page 2, line 1, and from the bottom, lines 7, 5, 4, and 2.

MR. ROBERTS: In view of the Court's ruling previous to the recess this witness may be excused.

THE PRESIDENT: He is at liberty on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. ROBERTS: The defense now desires to call
the witness OYAMA, whose affidavit was not processed
several days ago. He will be examined by Mr. BANNO.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. BANNO.

A Y A O O Y A M A, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

25 BY MR. BANNO:

Q Your name?

,	
	A OYAMA, Ayao. My name is OYAMA, Ayao.
1	Q Your address?
2	A My address: No. 8, 1-Chome, Nagata-cho.
3	Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo.
5	Q The date of birth?
6	A January 2, 1883.
7	MR. BANNO: May the witness he shows a see
8	document No. 897.
9	Q Is that your affidavit?
10	A Yes, it is.
11	MR. BANNO: I produce in evidence defense
12	document No. 897.
13	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
14	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 897
15	will receive exhibit No. 2423.
16	(Whereupon, the document above referred
17	to was marked defense exhibit No. 2423 and
18	received in evidence.)
19	MR. BANNO: I shall read exhibit No. 2423.
20	I shall read from No. 4:
21	"4. I held the directorship of the Judicial
22	Affairs Bureau in the Kwantung Army as an army legal
23	officer (then 3d grade of the KOTO rank) from June 21,
24	1929 (Showa 4) to December 20, 1933 (Showa 8).
25	"5. While I was in that office, there came

the outbreak of the Mukden Incident on September 18, 1931 (Showa 6).

"6. Upon the outbreak of this incident, I went under orders to Mukden with the staff of the Kwantung Army Headquarters. Proceeding to the actual site, on September 23 of the same year, in cooperation with the authorities concerned, we examined the condition of the South Manchurian Railway which had been blasted at Lieutikow. The member of the party who were engaged in the investigation were: USUDA, Kanzo, Infantry Major attached to the Kwantung Army Headquarters (staff officer); OYAMA, Ayao, OKADA, Chiichi, and FURUKAWA, Seiichi, Army Legal Officer of the Kwantung Army Headquarters; TSUMA, Kanzo, Gendarmerie Major of the Kwantung Gendarmerie; SHIMAMOTO, Masaichi, Lieutenant Colonel of the Second Infantry Battalion of the Independent Garrisons; ITAKURA, Itaru, Infantry Captain of the Kwantung Army Headquarters; TAMURA, Kazuo, Surgeon Captain of the Kwantung Army Headquarters; and MAEKAWA, Kazuma, army clerk, who acted as witness and stenographer.

"7. On the basis of the above-mentioned investigation, the party drew up the Report of the Investigation of the Blasting of the South Manchurian Railway and filed it with the authorities.

1 2 3

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

22

24

"8. The document consisting of five sheets, including the cover, which was drawn up on September 23, 1931, and entitled the 'Report of the Investigation of the Blasting of the South Manchurian Railway,' is a copy of the original report drawn up at that time. I certify that the content is the same as that of the original.

"9. The document drawn up by the Kwantung Army Staff Office and entitled 'Table of Incidents concerning Menchuria and Mongolia in the Last Four Years,' is a copy of the original which the Kwantung Army Staff Office distributed to the parties concerned after the investigation. It consists of fifteen sheets, including the cover and an appended paper. As the director of the Legal Affairs Bureau of the Kwantung Army I read the original at the time of its writing, and I certify that as far as I remember the content of this copy is the same as that of the original."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I was wishing to object to the last paragraph 9 of this affidavit being read on the ground that the document therein referred to is not exhibited to the affidavit nor identified in any way and as far as I know has not been served.

1 2 3

.

3

5

7

8 9

11 12

14

13

17

18

19

16

20

23

22

24 25

THE PRESIDENT: The absence of the original must be accounted for.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, not even a copy has been served or is attached to the affidavit.

MR. BANNO: May I explain, your Honor?

With reference to the documents referred to in paragraph ? of the affidavit, I wish to state that preparations for their processing had not been made, having had no time; that we had hoped to withdraw it and therefore I have chosen to make an explanation of this matter after the reading of the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: This witness may be able to testify to the facts themselves, apart from any document, if his recollection is clear enough. Try him. I understand the copy attached is not the document referred to in paragraph 9.

MR. COMYNS CARR: That is the document referred to in paragraph 8, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. The shortest thing to do is to uphold the objection. It goes only to paragraph 9. The objection is upheld. Paragraph 9 will be disregarded.

MR. BANNO: Thank you, sir.

I should next like to read the attached "Report of the Investigation of the Blasting of the

4 5

South Manchurian Railway."

(Reading): "On the night of September 18, 1931, Chinese soldiers blew up the South Manchurian Railway and attacked the Japanese Railway guards. SHIMAMOTO, Commander of the 2d Infantry Battalion of the Independent Garrisons, who was responsible for defense of the railway, went to the Kwantung Army Headquarters on September 23 and made an oral report regarding the blasted spot and conditions in the neighborhood.

"Consequently, by order of the Commander of
the Kwantung Army, the following personnel went to the
actual scene of the incident and investigated the actual
conditions: USUDA, Kanzo, Infantry Major attached to
the Kwantung Army Headquarters (Staff Officer); OYAMA,
Ayao, OKADA, Chiichi, and FURUKAWA, Seiichi, Army
Legal Officers of the Kwantung Army Headquarters;
TSUMA, Kanzo, Gendarmerie Major of the Kwantung
Gendarmerie; SHIMAMOTO, Masaichi, Lieutenant Colonel
of the Second Infantry Battalion of the Independent
Garrisons; ITAKURA, Itaru, Infantry Captain of the
Kwantung Army Headquarters; TAMURA, Kanzo, Surgeon
Captain of the Kwantung Army Headquarters. Their
report is as follows:

"I. Spot blown up.

"The railway line under the management of the South Manchuria Railway Company; the east side track about 1500 metres north of the Lieutikow Detachment Barracks north of Mukden Station.

"II. Date of investigation.

"From 5:14 to 6:10 p.m. on September 23, 1931 in fair weather, at sunset.

"III. Actual conditions of the spot blown

"The spot blown up had already been restored, but fragments of tracks and sleepers were still scattered here and there. As to the condition of repair, two tracks of the east side and two sleepers had been replaced with new ones, and the earth and land, ballast extending over 25 metres of the said tracks from north to south, and weedy land on the east side had evidently been worked upon quite recently (about four or five days before).

"Two tracks and two sleepers were crushed similarly at only one end. On examination, judging from the fact that there were remnants of explosives adhering to the ends of tracks, to sleepers, and to their scattered fragments as well as from the shapes of crushed fragments, we fully recognized that explosives were used to blast the railway.

2

1

5

6

7 8

9

up.

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

19

20 21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"IV. Actual conditions in the area extending from the place blasted to the southwest corner of Peitaying.

"(1) Starting northward from the place blasted, on a path 30 inches wide of piled-up earth next to the ballast on the east side of the railway track, we noticed that the said path and the ballast next to it were dotted with clotted blood at intervals of about two metres. We found a slightly larger amount of blood at a point about one hundred and fifty metres away from the starting point. Proceeding about two hundred metres, following the blood dotted as before, we discovered a corpse on the road parallel to the east side of the railway track and about two metres than the track. Further north we found no trace of blood. The aforesaid blood was dark brown, recognizable as being several days old. Photographs of the above-mentioned actual evidences of blood were taken by the photographers who accompanied us.

"(2) The corpse lay on the east side of the road, in a rut made by carts going through the mud. There were still puddles of mud in places. In the rut, with his head northwards, his feet

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

southwards, the right side of his face, his right hand and his right foot sunk in the mud and his left hand under his belly, a Chinese soldier lay dead, face downwards, dressed in a military uniform (without cap) but wearing a bayonet scabbard (the blade of which was missing). To the north, thirty centimeters away from his head, was a soldier's cap, and to the south, two metres from his foot, a rifle with bayonet fixed. In the middle of the back of the corpse there was a bloodstained bullet hole in his uniform. A considerable amount of blood had been emitted from his mouth and nostrils and had permeated the earth next to his face. His face, hand, foot and uniform which had sunk in the mud, were stuck in the mud which had dried after a few clear days. The blood on his back and his face was dark brown and red, and the bare parts of the corpse were dark brown and rotted. A part of his head on the same side of his face in the mud was submerged in a little pool and that part had become slightly furred. We feared that if we should try to move the corpse, the rotted corpse and uniform would fall apart. Photographs of the actual condition of the corpse were taken by the photographers who accompanied us.

20

21 22

23

24

ā

from the corpse on the road parallel to the railway, we discovered the corpse of another Chinese soldier at the edge of a marshy pool on the east side of the road. He was in soldier's uniform without cap but with his bayonet. He lay dead with his head northward, his feet southward, his face downward, his right arm under his body and his left arm a little forward. In the center of the back of the corpse there was a blood-stained bullet hole mark.

"The head, hands and uniform had become slightly furred. Photos of the actual conditions of the corpse were taken by the photographers who accompanied us.

From his mouth and nostrils had come a small amount

of blood, which was dark brown and red. The bare

parts of the corpse were dark brown and rotted.

"(4) Northward, about one hundred metres
from the corpse on the road, beyond the marsh (ten
metres wide) on the east side of the road and in a
small hollow near the eastern edge of the abovementioned marsh, we discovered the corpse of a third
Chinese soldier. He was in soldier's uniform, without cap but with his bayonet. The cap was lying
to the north of the corpse. He lay dead with the

1 2

right half of his face downward, his head northward, his feet southward, and his right arm under his body. There were no cuts on the bare parts of the corpse, but he had vomited a large amount of blood, which was now dark brown and red. His face and his right arm had sunk in the mud and the blood had permeated the earth next to his face. His face, arm and uniform were stuck in the mud which had dried after a few clear days. Photos of the actual condition of the corpse were taken by the photographers who accompanied us.

"When we looked to the northeast from the spot where the corpse lay, we could see the southwest corners of the parapet of Peitaying about one hundred and fifty metres beyond a Kaoling field.

"We judged from the looks and uniforms of the aforesaid three corpses that they were doubtlessly Chinese soldiers, and the above-mentioned conditions convinced us that scores of hours had passed since their death. There is no evidence that the corpses had been moved.

"The above investigation ascertained that the Chinese soldiers had blown up the aforesaid railway with explosives, and, that while retreating in the direction of Peitaying, they had been

2

A

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

14

15 16

17 18

19

old b

g

&

u

20

22

25

K 23 а p 24

13 21

fatally wounded by pursuing Japanese railway guards who had discovered them, corpses of the three soldiers having been left where they died.

"September 23, 1931."

MR. BANNO; That is all.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR:

OYAMA, are you the same person as OYAMA, Funio, of the same address and apparently the same age, who swore an affidavit for the defense on the 24th of December last, which has been served upon us but not so far used?

A I am the same person because I am also known as Fumio. Fumio and Ayao are written in the same characters, and they may be read either way.

Then you are the gentleman who held the position of Chief of the Army Judicial Affairs Bureau from December 1933 until March 1945, is that right?

> A Yes.

As that affidavit has not yet been used I won't ask you anything about that now. Now about this report of investigation -- the investigation took place on the 23rd of September, 1931,

I underst	tand, is that right?
A	That is a fact.
Q	Was that the same day on which a party of
American	and other journalists were shown over the
same place	ce where you conducted your investigation?
A	I do not know anything about journalists being
shown the	spot.
Q	You did not see them there?
A	No, I did not see them.
Q	Were you informed that they either had been
there be	fore you or were coming immediately after
you?	
A	No, I have never heard of it.
Q	I see. Now according to the Lytton Report
the Japan	nese officer who was the only Japanese
officer	who was anywhere near the scene of this
explosion	n at the time that it took place was Lieutenant
KAWAMOTO	. Do you know what has happened to him?
A	I do not know.
Q	Did you see him and take him with you to
the inve	stigation at the time?
A	Lieutenant KAWAMOTO did not accompany us.
	THE PRESIDENT: Did you speak to the Japanese

guards who shot the three Chinese soldiers?

THE WITNESS: We have not spoken with Japanese

soldiers.

2 3

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

> 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

So when you made the investigation you did not interview any of the persons who were near the

scene at the time of the occurrence, is that right?

No, we have not interrogated them.

You were the head of the Legal Section of the Kwantung Army, weren't you?

Yes.

Do you hold a legal qualification as well as military rank or did you?

I have legal -- I had legal qualifications. At that time I was not an officer but a civilian attached to the army.

Didn't your legal qualifications indicate it would be a good idea to examine the nearest approach to eye witnesses that you could find?

At that time we did not see that necessity.

I notice that you begin your report with the words "On the night of 18 September 1931 Chinese soldiers blew up the South Manchurian Railway and attacked the Japanese railway guards." As a lawyer do you usually begin an investigation with the conclusion?

No, it was not given in the sense of a conclusion. The meaning was that because SHIMAMOTO, Commander of the Second Infantry Battalion of the Independent Garrisons, had made a report to such an effect such an investigation was conducted.

Q That isn't what you say, you know. Why didn't you say that if that is what you meant?

A If you would carefully read the report,
I am quite sure you will understand the meaning of
what I have just stated.

Q Isn't it the truth that you had made up your minds about the conclusion before you started and you were just looking for some evidence to support it?

A There was never any such a thing.

where the explosion is said to have occurred, you say: "The spot blown up had already been restored, but fragments of tracks and sleepers were still scattered here and there." And you go on to describe two tracks and two sleepers crushed and remnants of explosives adhering to the ends of them. Now isn't the truth that those broken pieces of rail had previously been removed to General HONJO's office in Mukden and were shown to the American journalists that very morning in Mukden?

A It is a fact part of the remnants -- broken

pieces of rail and sleepers were scattered about the spot of the explosion.

Q Did you see them at any time in General -- or outside General HONJO's office in Mukden -- in the hall just outside his office?

A Yes, but some time afterwards.

Q How long afterwards?

A I have no exact recollection at the moment, but I think it was one or two days, perhaps a few more days after that.

Q Who caused them to be removed there?

A Of that I do not know.

THE PRESIDENT: What was the appearance of the remnants of explosives?

A I cannot recall all the details at the present moment, but I have a very definite, positive recollection of -- I have a very clear recollection of the ends of sleepers and rails which were scattered there.

O But what led you to think that there were any remnants of explosives there?

A I recognized them because there were a number of rails and sleepers there, and that a part of them had been demolished by explosives.

THE PRESIDENT: You say there were remnants of explosives adhering to the ends of the tracks and to sleepers. Can you describe in greater detail what you mean by that? What were these remnants?

THE WITNESS: I am referring to black -- shall I say smoke or powder which were found on these materials as a result of the explosion.

THE PRESIDENT: You were not referring to pieces of iron or steel or anything like that? You are not suggesting a grenade was used or something of that kind?

Q Tho caused them to be removed there?

A Of that I do not know.

THE PRESIDENT: What was the appearance of the remnants of explosives?

A I cannot recall all the details at the present moment, but I have a very definite, positive recollection of -- I have a very clear recollection of the ends of sleepers and rails which were scattered there.

O But what led you to think that there were any remnants of explosives there?

A I recognized them because there were a number of rails and sleepers there, and that a part of them had been demolished by explosives.

THE PRESIDENT: You say there were remnants of explosives adhering to the ends of the tracks and to sleepers. Can you describe in greater detail what you mean by that? What were these remnants?

THE WITNESS: I am referring to black -- shall I say smoke or powder which were found on these materials as a result of the explosion.

THE PRESIDENT: You were not referring to pieces of iron or steel or anything like that? You are not suggesting a grenade was used or something of that kind?

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly what type of explosive was used, but an investigation into that matter was supposed to have been conducted afterwards and a report submitted thereon. What I recall is that there were remnants or pieces of rails and sleepers and that there were black powderish marks on these remnants; but although I recell this I don't recall whether there were any remnants or fragments. But I should think that there were remnants of -- or fragments of the explosives themselves.

THE MONITOR: I don't recall about the fregments of explosives, but I should think there were such a thing there.

THE PRESIDENT: You must tell us what you saw, not draw on your imagination.

It is difficult to understand how parts of explosives as such could adhere to anything.

This report that you have produced you have sworn is a copy of the original report drawn up at the time, is that so?

Is that right?

THE MONITOR: Just a moment. Could we have the question repeated?

23 24

This report that you have produced you have sworn is a copy of the original report drawn up at the time. Is that so?

A Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How close to the point of the explosion was the nearest sign of a clot of blood?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I am coming to that.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, a colleague wants that answered.

THE WITNESS: The point of the explosion had been repaired and there was new dirt covered over the place. The clots of blood were not found in the place where the repairs were made but slightly north of the point.

THE PRESIDENT: How far north in feet?

THE WITNESS: The portions of the rail

which had been repaired at the point of the explosion extended about twenty-five metres. The

point where the clot of blood was found was a very

short distance from the end of the twenty-five metres.

I don't recall in feet how far the place was, but

it was very, very close.

THE PRESIDENT: Do make an attempt at measurement, if you can. "Very, very close" means nothing

4 5

6

2

3

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

23 24

in legal proceedings.

THE WITNESS: I don't have any exact recollection, but I don't think it was -- I think it was less than one metre.

Now. Mr. OYAMA, this report was a result of all these people you have mentioned in it as having gone on the investigation, wasn't it?

A Yes, that is so.

Was it shown, for instance, to Colonel SHIMAMOTO?

A Jieutenant Colonel SHIMAMOTO naturally would have seen the report.

© Before it was sent in?

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200 a recess was taken.)

Wolf & Morse

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, there was a medical examination ordered for the defendant OKAWA, Shumie and the defense doctor has made his report and I understand that the prosecution doctor has made his report, and I wonder if there is any change in the status of OKAWA at this time.

approached me in chambers for a copy of the American doctor's report. I told you that after consulting the other members of the Tribunal I might have an announcement to make in respect to OKAWA. Having consulted my colleagues, I have the following announcement to make:

The Tribunal, having considered the reports dated the 23rd day of February and the 13th day of March, 1947, made by the medical experts duly appointed to examine into the mental condition of the accused OKAWA, Shumie, and not being satisfied that the said

accused has yet recovered the intellectual capacity and judgment to make him capable of standing trial and of conducting his defense, and the said accused not having pleaded to the charges and having been unable during the proceedings to instruct his counsel effectively, doth order that against the said OKAWA, Shumie no further proceedings be taken at this trial on the Indictment herein and that he be kept in custody subject to such order as may be issued by the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers. The present order shall not, however, preclude or prevent the trial of the said accused at a later date before a competent tribunal on the charges contained in the said Indictment or on any other charges.

That is the decision of a majority of the Tribunal.

MR. BROOKS: Might I inquire, your Honor, as to the custody referred to there. Does that mean that he will be removed from the custody of the Japanese insane asylum. where he is now, as I understand, being held?

THE PRESIDENT: His movements in all places and at all times will be controlled by the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers.

MR. BROOKS: Thank you, your Honor.

. 25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

4

A Y A O O Y A M A, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

6

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

7

8 9

10

12

13

18

21 22

23 24

25

Mr. OYAMA, you told me before the adjournment that Colonel SHIMAMOTO was one of those responsible for this report which you have produced and, therefore, responsible for the statement which has been read several times that there were remnants of explosive adhering to the ends of tracks. Do you know that only yesterday Colonel SHIMAMOTO produced to this Court a report which he said he made on the 18th of October, 1931, court exhibit 2410, of the discussion which he hed with certain members of the Lytton Commission when he took them to the scene of this explosion. He said that they asked him what was used for this explosion and that he replied, "As it was done by the enemy we do not know. There was no residue." Which of those two statements is true?

I am unacquainted with the contents of the document which I have just been told has been tendered to this Court by Lieutenant Colonel SHIMAMOTO.

You have just heard me read out the material part of the contents and I ask you again, which of

the two statements is true?

A The fact that there were a number of rails and sleepers wrecked and damaged when they -- and that these were found at the time of the investigation and that evidences of explosive found on these rails and sleepers was as they have been pointed out and indicated in the report, then if there is anything -- any document or anything contrary to what I have just said, then I would say that the report to which I have referred is accurate.

Q Now you describe the repairs which had been done over twenty-five meters of the said tracks. Do you intend us to understand that anything like that length had been damaged by the alleged explosion?

A Inasmuch as the extent of the explosion, the part that had been damaged by the explosion, had already been repaired, I do not know. However, there were two rails that were replaced and in replacing these two rails I should think a length to this extent had to be worked upon, that is to say, a length of twenty-five meters.

Q Do not you know that the Japanese had themselves torn up part of the rails?

A That is not so.

Q Do you know that in the same statement to which I have already referred, Colonel SHIMAMOTO reported yesterday this question and answer: "Why did the Japanese forces remove rails at the crossing of the Peiping-Mukden Railway?" and his reply was "Because it was necessary for our defense."?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: If your Honor please, it appears that prosecutor is now referring to another spot which I believe is different from the spot of the explosion.

MR. COMYNS CARR: In my submission, your Honor, objections should not take the form of inviting the witness to give a particular answer to a question.

THE PRESIDENT: It purports to be a genuine correction of a misapprehension on the part of cross-examining counsel. Whether it is or not, I do not know.

MR. ROBERTS: That is the intention and I could object on the ground that it is outside the scope of the examination.

THE PRESIDENT: No, you could not object on

2

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

13

19

21

22

2324

that ground, Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: I still have that intention in correcting the misapprehension.

MR. COMYNS CARR: It is not a misapprehension. If your Honor will turn to page 67 of the Lytton Report you will see that there was, according to Lieutenant KAWAMOTO, there was a crossing at the very point where Lieutenant KAWAMOTO claimed to have heard the explosion; the bottom of the page, five lines up.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you see, you do not misapprehend anything, Mr. Comyns Carr, so proceed with your cross-examination.

Q Was Colonel SHIMAMOTO right when he said -when he told the Lytton Commission that the Japanese
had themselves torn up certain rails at a crossing and
for the purposes of their defense?

A Having had no relationship whatsoever with that question, I do not know.

Q Did you see two places where rails had been repaired or only one place?

A Just one place.

Q Now, do you know that according to Lieutenant KAWAMOTO's account given to the Lytton Commission the gap caused by the alleged explosion was only 31 inches?

t

2

1

4

5

6

8

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

22

24 25 that ground, Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: I still have that intention in correcting the misapprehension.

MR. COMYNS CARR: It is not a misapprehension.

If your Honor will turn to page 67 of the Lytton

Report you will see that there was, according to

Lieutenant KAWAMOTO, there was a crossing at the

very point where Lieutenant KAWAMOTO claimed to have

heard the explosion; the bottom of the page, five

lines up.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you see, you do not misapprehend anything, Mr. Comyns Carr, so proceed with your cross-examination.

Q Was Colonel SHIMAMOTO right when he said -when he told the Lytton Commission that the Japanese
had themselves torn up certain rails at a crossing and
for the purposes of their defense?

A Having had no relationship whatsoever with that question, I do not know.

Q Did you see two places where rails had been repaired or only one place?

A Just one place.

Q Now, do you know that according to Lieutenant KAWAMOTO's account given to the Lytton Commission the gap caused by the alleged explosion was only 31 inches?

A No, I do not know of the length.

Q Do you know that according to all Japanese reports the train passed safely over it immediately after it occurred?

A Yes, that I have heard of.

Q If that is so, and if it was necessary to repair 25 meters, something else must have caused that necessity, mustn't it?

Not having been at the scene of the explosion at the time the explosion took place I cannot say, but I can say that there were evidences of explosive found on the rails and sleepers which were lying around the place. However, having heard that a train had passed over that particular section shortly after the explosion, I assumed that the extent of the explosion was rather small. And then I should say that 25 meters of the rail was repaired, because, in order to make the exchange of rails at that particular point effective, an extent of 25 meters was necessary. That is why I use the words "25 meters." However there was -the rail was a double-track rail and the eastern track was damaged, but on the western track there was no damage at all; and this eastern track was the one that was -- and the damaged eastern line was the one going to Mukden and I recall that there was discussion

17

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21 22

23 24

of the fact that trains had passed over the rail shortly afterwards because the other -- one of the tracks had not been severely damaged.

Q You say that some photographs were taken. Where are they?

A These photos were attached to the report at the time the report was tendered.

Q Do you know whether there are any copies of them available now?

A I think that there is one copy somewhere.

Q Where?

A I imagine that copies of the pictures are attached to the Lytton Report or some report.

Greenberg & Barton

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q Do you know that these photographs were shown to the American journalists before they were taken out to see the spot?

A I do not know because I neger had at that time seen any newspapermen, either Japanese or foreign.

Q Now, with regard to these corpses of soldiers, you say that the first spot of blood that you saw was not more than twenty-six meters from the scene of the explosion, is that right, and quite close to the rail-way track?

THE PRESIDENT: Not twenty-six meters, Mr. Carr; one meter from the repairs.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, twenty-five -- in the middle of the -- if the spot was in the middle of the alleged repair, yes.

Q How far from what you think was the scene of the explosion did you find the first spot of blood?

A I have no positive knowledge at all except to the extent that I replied to the question put to me by the President.

And in your answer to that question, did you mean that it was one meter beyond the end of the repair, the twenty-five meters of repair?

A Yes, that is what I mean.

Q And how far from the railway track was it?

18

20

21 22

23 24

A As to the location, it was just somewhere besides the tracks -- there was a road around thirty meters wide just beside the tracks, and there was ballast piled up around the railroad, and it was in this vicinity, just around this section.

Q Was it on the side of the road nearest to the track?

A Railway tracks, as you know, have a certain fixed width with sleepers and rails laid over them, and that there is a small passageway thirty meters long -- thirty meters wide beside this, and that there are ballasts piled up along side the railway, and it is in the vicinity of this section near these ballasts and the roadway that I saw these clots of blood.

Q Now, does that apply to all the clots of blood you saw? Were they all near the railway track like that?

A Yes.

Q Now, in regard to the corpses, were they also all near the railway track?

A No, not on the railway tracks, but to the east of the railway tracks at a lower level where there is a road, and it was on one edge of this road.

MR. ROBERTS: May I interrupt for one moment, please?

4 5

3

8

10 11

12

14

15 16

17 18

6

19 20

21

22

23 24

3 4

5

6

9

10

12

13 14

15 16

> 17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: The prosecutor has asked the witness concerning certain photographs referred to in his affidavit. I have here certain photographs which are not completely processed; but, perhaps the witness can identify the photographs we have, and we can offer the originals later on, and Mr. Carr, perhaps, can cross-examine him more definitely on these photographs.

THE PRESIDENT: We must leave that to Mr. Carr for the time being.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, it is not very convenient that what ought to be exhibits to the affidavit should be produced piecemeal in this manner. However, I will make what use of them I can.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q I am afraid I missed your answer. How far from the railway was the first of the corpses that you referred to?

A The railway was laid out on a sort of a mound. On the edge of this mound, a very short distance away, there was a road. In the center of the road there were ruts made by wheels of some cart or a wagon, and the head, hands and feet of the corpse was buried under the mud on the east rut of this road.

Q I see you say "about two meters from the

track"; is that right?

A Yes, I think it is just about that distance.

Q Now, about these ruts in the mud, had they been made recently?

A Of course, there are many old ruts and new ones; and, since there was some vehicular traffic over that, I don't know whether they were new or old because there were a number of them.

Q Had the materials for the repair of the track been brought up in carts?

A That I do not know because I was not there at the time.

Q Now, the second corpse, was that also on this same road by the side of the railway?

A It was in a mud puddle on the side of the road on the opposite side of the railway tracks.

Q And the third corpse, where was that in relation to the railway tracks?

A Of course, there was this road continuing parallel with the railway line, and the corpse was found in a puddle of water to the east of this road which I should say was a distance of ten some-odd meters from the track.

Q That was the third one.

A Yes.

10

8

4

11

13 14

15 16

17

19

20

22

23

Now, did you notice some fields of kao-liang grass in the neighborhood? THE PRESIDENT: Are you coming back to the 3 blood spots, Mr. Carr? MR. COMYNS CARR: Oh, yes. This is in connection with them, your Honor. Yes, I know that there was a kao-ling field. A 7 Q And that is a tall grass, is it not? Yes, that is so. A How far away were they from the railway 10 tracks? Comparatively near the railway tracks. 12 Were they on the far side of this road that 13 14 you have been speaking of? Yes, there were kao-liangfields both on the 15 16 east and the west side of the railway lines, and there 17 were a number of them, and I am now referring to the 18 points east of the railway line. 19 Yes. The fields were all -- on that side 20 were beyond the road, then? 21 That is so. 22 Now, listen to Lieutenant KAWAMOTO's account 23 of the fighting.

24

THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, is that a very long passage, sir, that you are going to quote?

MR. COMYNS CARR: No, I am going to summarize.

Q (Continuing) He says that, when they heard the explosion, they ran back along the line about two hundred yards, and that, on arrival at the site of the explosion, they were fired upon from the fields on the east side of the line and that they returned the fire, so that, if these three men you say you found -- these three corpses -- were killed by the fire of Lieutenant KAWAMOTO's patrol, they died in the wrong place, didn't they?

MR. ROBERTS: If your Honor please, I think this is argumentative more than a question; certainly not asking for a statement of fact.

THE PRESIDENT: This is testing credit in the usual way. The objection is overruled.

Q Were there any Japanese casualties in the fighting in that immediate neighborhood?

A The fact that these corpses were found near the road west of the kao-liengfields, we presume that the soldiers, or at least one of them, was on the rail-way tracks and others were either on or near the rail-way tracks at the time; and these soldiers, who were either on the railway tracks or near the railway tracks, were shot at. Now, what Lieutenant KAWAMOTO refers to are probably soldiers in ambush in the field.

3 4

1

6

8

10

12

13 14

15

17

13

16

19 20

21 22

23 24

Q They are the only ones he refers to as having been shot at. Isn't it true that their bodies had been moved to the place you say you saw them?

No, we recognize absolutely no evidence that bodies were moved, and we gave special care in the investigation of this particular point.

Q Do you know that the American journalists also investigated that particular point --

A I do not know anything about American journalists making any investigation.

Q and that they said they couldn't find any blood?

A As I said before, I have not had any opportunity to see or to talk or to discuss matters with American journalists. As a matter of fact, the Legal Affairs Bureau of the Kwantung Army conducted judicial matters only, and we did not engage in any diplomatic or other relationships with the outside, and, therefore, I have had no opportunities what soever to meet American journalists.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I do not think
I can make any use of these photos without more
opportunity of examining them. Therefore, I will not
ask anything further.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr, before you go, the

4 5

7 8

10 11

12

9

17

18

19 20

22 23

21

24

25

position of the blood spots suggests that the Chinese soldiers, if they were the culprits, were right against the explosion when it took place, but the wounds or the blood was caused by rifle fire. It is most unusual for men who are using dynamite or other high explosives to blast to stand alongside.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q Witness, you heard what the President said. Have you any explanation to offer of that?

It can be assumed that, after the dynamite -the explosive had been placed at the particular point where the explosion took place, that those who planted the explosive would remove themselves to a point which would be outside of danger. But it also can be assumed that, after the explosion took place, these same men would return to the scene to see the effects of the explosion. And it was our judgment upon investigation that these Chinese soldiers were shot by rifles on the assumption that they had returned to the scene of the explosion after the explosion had taken place, and that at that time Japanese railway guards rushed to the scene and shot at Chinese soldiers who were fleeing toward the north, and it was three of these soldiers who were shot dead in and around -- or near that area.

Then, do you assume that those Chinese soldiers, having carried out the explosion, would come back to the scene of the explosion to be shot there by the Japanese guards who would be sure to rush to find out what it was?

A I do not know whether the Chinese soldiers who were near that place themselves knew whether or not there were Japanese guards in the vicinity. However, we recognize the fact that they undertook

to explode that particular section after having

ascertained that there were no Japanese guards in

the vicinity.

Duda & Whalen

Q But we know that there were Japanese guards in the vicinity. Didn't you know that?

A At the time I was participating in this investigation such doubt did not arise in my mind at all.

Q I am not asking you about a doubt. I am asking you whether you didn't know the fact, which was part of the case of your own side, that there were Japanese guards in the vicinity?

were Japanese guards in the vicinity. However, at that time I do recall that when the Japanese railway guards heard the explosion and rushed back toward that spot where the explosion took place they said what appeared to them -- they spoke of what appeared to them as Chinese soldiers fleeing in the opposite direction, and that they were on the tracks or near the tracks.

Q You told me that you hadn't made any inquiries from Lieutenant General KAWAMOTO or any of his men before you made this report. Are you now saying that you did?

A What I just said now was what I heard after the report had been completed, or the investigation had been completed and the report made. I answered in the way I did this morning because you asked me whether they were interviewed before the report was made.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Oh, I see. Then is it your idea as a lawyer to make the report first and collect the evidence afterwards? THE PRESIDENT: Unless you have examined eyewitnesses, what use were you there? THE INTERPRETER: 'I did not say investigation." That was the statement of the witness before

THE PRESIDENT: What useful purpose could you have served there unless you examined eyewitnesses?

THE WITNESS: Yes, to make a study of the spot.

From whom do you say that you afterwards heard this story about the Chinese soldiers running along the railway line?

I have no recollection as to whom I heard this from.

Do you know that it is entirely contrary to the story they told the Lytton Commission?

That I do not know.

the President's remark.

Do you think it likely that men running away from people with rifles would make good targets of themselves by coming out into the open from the cover they had in the fields?

I do not know, although I do not think that

19

18

20

21 22

23

that could be so.

THE PRESIDENT: The Members of the Court desire to ask several questions.

What was the kind of explosive that was used, was it dynamite or gelignite?

A I am not an expert on explosives so I do not know.

7 8

255.5

```
THE PRESIDENT: Well, how do you know it was
2 caused by an explosive?
           THE WITNESS: There was evidence to the
4 effect that explosives were used in the destruction
of the railway and sleepers because these evidences
6 were found on the ends of the rails and the sleepers
7 which were destroyed. The investigation at that
8 time was undertaken by eight men -- nine men including the
9 clerk -- and among these investigators were army men
10 who were familiar with explosives, and it was his view
11 that explosives were used in wrecking the railway.
12 All eight men agreed to the report as finally drawn
13 up and signed the report as such without any objections.
           THE PRESIDENT: Did you look at the identi-
14
  fication disks on the dead Chinese soldiers?
            THE WITNESS: No.
16
          THE PRESIDENT: Didn't you think it was
 17
 worthwhile to investigate who they were so that you
 19 could tell the world that they were Chinese soldiers?
            THE WITNESS: Naturally, that investigation
 21 must be made, and at that time we came to the con-
 22 clusion that they were Chinese soldiers because of
 23 their uniforms and the equipment they had on them.
            THE PRESIDENT: But you didn't look for any
 25 identification mark of any kind, apart from the uniform?
```

Were you given any reason why the bodies were left on the road for five days?

as that of Chinese soldiers from the arms they carried; for instance, their bayonet, their equipment, their uniform, et cetera. And the fact that these corpses were left lying there without moving them for several days is because the Japanese Army as a matter of custom had pursued the policy of leaving anything untouched in case of any untoward occurrence or unusual occurrence as this had been until investigation had been completed. These facts were well known by the responsible authorities of the army, and at that time, at the time the investigation was carried out -- this was shortly after the outbreak of the incident -- very strict surveillance was being carried on in that area and transit of people were very carefully kept.

THE PRESIDENT: A colleague desires the following questions to be put to the witness:

Was the Lytton Commission informed of the discovery of the three dead Chinese soldiers? When that commission was operating, were there rumors that the Japanese were responsible for the explosion?

THE WITNESS: I have never heard anything to that effect at that time. I have never heard at the

3 4

time the investigation was made, that is, on September 23, that the explosion of the railway -the Japanese were responsible for the explosion of the railway.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, that concludes my cross-examination.

For the information of the Tribunal, the questions I have been putting about the party of journalists are based on pages 3,210 and onwards of the record.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Spratt & Yelden

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

MR. BANNO: I shall conduct a redirect examination on one or two points.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel BANNO.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BANNO:

Q Mr. Witness, did Chinese soldiers usually have identification discs on them?

A There is no system of identification discs in so far as Chinese troops are concerned. Japanese troops have identification discs but, as I understand, they are used only in war time and not in peace time.

Q According to the cross-examination of the prosecutor it seemed as if there was doubt concerning whether the three corpses in question were carried to the point where they were. Could you give proof to the fact on which you based your conclusion that they were not carried there?

A Believing that to be a very important point we gave very great care in the investigation of that particular point. By our observations conducted at that time it seemed that rain fell shortly before the incident and the roadways were extremely muddy. When we observed the position and the conditions of the

9

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13 14

15 16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

first corpse that we came upon we found that the hands, head and feet of the corpse was inside of the mud and was lying along the wheel ruts which were made there in the mud. One point that I wish to mention is that the head, hands, and feet of the corpse was sunk in the mud and in that position the mud dried and was sticking to the corpse. The cheek which rested on the mud and slightly sunk in the mud was seen and in that position the blood which had been vomited or had been emitted by the corpse from its mouth and nose were permeated around his cheek and permeated in the mud in that same position. My second point is that the blood which had been emitted by the soldier had permeated the ground at the exact point at which he laid on the ground, his corpse laid on the ground, and that the blood which had permeated into the ground was of a blackish-red color. From that we judged that four or five days had elapsed and from the color of the blood we confirmed that some four or five days had elapsed. The third point is that there were a few water puddles or mud puddles still left as the result of the rain and that the head of the corpse was stuck in the water and laid in that position, and because of that condition of the corpse various growths took place on the face of the corpse. From that we

judge that the corpse had not been removed. Furthermore the vicinity in which the incident took place was
carefully restricted and all pedestrians around there
were prevented from entering that particular area;
and, therefore, the positions of these corpses were
left untouched until our investigating party arrived
there. From that we judge that the bodies had never
been removed.

MR. BANNO: Redirect examination is over.

May the witness be permitted to leave the court?

However, we should like to reserve the right of recalling this witness in the Pacific warfare and in the individual defense phase of the case.

THE PRESIDENT: He will be recalled if that is necessary in the interest of a fair trial. He is released on the usual terms.

Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: If the Tribunal please, I desire to call attention to the order of proof and the running commentary on this section of the case. The documents listed on pages 1, 2, 3, and 7 -- they are the 204 series and the 300 series -- have not been offered for the reason that processing thereof has not been completed. We also have the matter of taking the deposition of the witness ISHIHARA. With the exception

3 4

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

of those matters and one more witness who will next be called, the witness WACHI, and if we may reserve the right to offer these documents or some of them and procure the deposition of the witness ISHIHARA at some later and convenient time, this section may be considered concluded. THE PRESIDENT: When are you going to present the draft commission to take the evidence of ISHIHARA? MR. MATTICE: As soon as we have had time

to prepare it.

Mr. HAYASHI will examine the witness WACHI.

MR. HAYASHI: I wish to summon witness WACHI at this time.

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

TAKAJI WACHI, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, 2 testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAYASHI: Your name? A WACHI, Takaji. Your age? 10 Fifty-four. 11 Where do you live? 12 Sugamo. A 13 MR. HAYASHI: May the witness be shown 14 defense document No. 869? 15 Is that the affidavit which you have signed? 16 Α Yes. 17 MR. HAYASHI: I produce in evidence defense 18 document 869. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner. 20 IR. TAVENNER: If your Honor pleases, this 21 document, I think, is the most unusual affidavit that I have ever seen. It consists of a series of negative 24 statements which, if accepted, still prove nothing. THE PRESIDENT: I see one positive statement: 25 "The aim of the October Incident was to remove corrupt

politicians."

MR. TAVENNEF: There are some statements in the affidavit, of course, to which that statement of mine does not apply, but they are few and far between. I call the Tribunal's attention particularly to paragraphs 5, 8, 13, 15, and 16. Of course, if the Tribunal is of the opinion that these matters are of probative value I shall have to cross-examine on those matters.

THE PRESIDENT: It also deals with the policy of the Sakura-kai Association. There are many positive statements which bear on issues, but it is a matter for the Tribunal. The Court thinks it is relevant. The objection is overruled, and the document admitted.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 869 will receive exhibit No. 2424.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2424 and received in evidence.)

MR. HAYASHI (Reading): "AFFIDAVIT

"1. I was Lieutenant General at the time when the war ended.

"2. I assumed the post of battalion commander of the 63rd Infantry Regiment at the city of

.

20 21

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 23

Matsue in August, 1929 (the 4th Year of Showa), became a member of the Staff Office in April, 1931. "3. Colonel HASHIMOTO, Kingoro is my 3 senior and is also an intimate friend of mine. 4 "4. After I became a member of the General 5 Staff Office, I occasionally attended meetings held by the society called Sakura-kai (the Cherry Blossoms Society).

"5. Sakura-kai was a discussion meeting concerning the reformation of domestic affairs. Consequently, there was no occasion at which the problems dealing with Manchuria and other countries were studied.

14 "6. Sakura-kai was a gathering of young officers below the rank of Lieutenant Colonel and there were no rules as to the regulations or the membership fees of the society. Also, it was not a secret society.

"7. Sakura-kai had no connection whatever with the Manchurian Incident. Neither was there any connection with the Kwantung Army. No one connected with the Kwantung Army enjoyed its membership.

"8. Colonel HASHIMOTO, Kingoro had never met ITAGAKI, Seishiro, DOHIHARA, Kenji, ISHIHARA, Kanji, etc. of the Kwantung Army at about the time

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

²⁴

concrete and p-

where the Manchurian Incident broke out. Also, there was no occasion at which the liaison was established with these people by means of telephone, telegram or by any other means.

"9. During that period, I and HASHIMOTO,
Kingoro were on very intimate terms in both official
and private affairs so that I know about these matters
very well.

"10. I was reprimanded along with HASHIMOTO, Kingoro and others on the charge of the
October Incident. HASHIHOTO was subjected to heavy
disciplinary confinement for twenty-five days and
relegated to the Himeji regiment.

"11. The aim of the October Incident was to remove corrupt politicians from the political parties and to recommend Lieutenant General ARIKI, a man of unimpeachable character, to head a new government. However, MASHIMOTO revealed this plan to Lieutenant General ARAKI, Lieutenant General ARAKI told it to Minister of War MINAMI, and that night Minister of War MINAMI ordered the military police to arrest us so that the further development of the Incident was checked there. Consequently, the concrete and practical method of its execution had not as yet been considered.

"12. Mr. OKAWA, Shumei had no connection with the October Incident. For fear that the information might leak out through civilians it was decided not to include any civilians at all in this plan. HASHIMOTO, Kingoro said that the failure of the March Incident was due to the fact that they had included civilians and therefore it was deemed proper not to have any civilians this time.

"13. HASHIMOTO, Kingoro said that the
March Incident was a move which attempted to form a
new cabinet under the leadership of General UGAKI,
Issei and to remove corrupt politicians from the
political parties, but it was suspended at the stage of
a mere plan. However, I am not acquainted with its
details.

"14. The notive of the October Incident was based on the fact that both of the political parties, Seiyukai and Minseito, were absorbed in party interests as well as self-interests and never thought of the hardships of the people. For these reaons, it was a move to exclude Premier WAKATSUKI, Reijiro, who was a typical example of the corrupt party politicians, and there was no connection whatever with the Manchurian Incident.

"15. Captain CHO Isamu was one of my

.

intimate friends. Captain CHO was dispatched to Pekin as a study committee at the beginning of August, 1931 (the 6th Year of Showa), and returned to Tokyo at the early part of October of the same year after the Manchurian Incident started. Therefore, he had no connection whatever with the Manchurian Incident at its outbreak.

"16. Major General TANAKA, Ryukichi had no connection with the Sakura-kai. He is a junior of HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, but HASHIMOTO is not his close friend."

MR. HAYASHI: The prosecution may crossexamine.

15

14

7

8

10

11

12

13

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

4
K
a
p
е
a
u
&
-
G
0
1
d
b
e
r
g

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE	PRESIDENT:	Mr.	Tavenner.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER:

Q General WACHI, what official position did you hold prior to your appointment to the general staff in 1931?

A I was a battalion commander of the 63rd Regiment at Matsue.

THE MONITOR: Infantry regiment.

- Q Where is Matsue?
- A In Shimane Prefecture.
- Q Did your duties require your presence in Tokyo during that period of time when you were battalion commander?
 - A No. I do not know.
- Q When you were assigned to the general staff in April, 1931, what was your rank?
 - A Major.
- Q And in what branch of the general staff were you employed?
 - A The China Section of the Second Division.
- Q Was Colonel HASHIMOTO connected with that division?
- A HASHIMOTO was in the European-American Affairs Section of the same division.

When did you first learn to know Colonel 1 HASHIMOTO? When I was attached to the general staff 3 office, 1923 and 1924 -- from the end of 1923 to July of 1925 when I was with the General Staff. Between July, 1925 and April, 1931, were 6 you closely associated with Colonel HASHIMOTO? 7 I never met him during that period. 8 You state that you attended meetings of 9 Q the Sakura-Kai. Were you a member of that society? 11 Yes, I was a member. A 12 When did you become a member? Q 13 April, 1931. A 14 You state that the Sakura-Kai had no connection 15 with the March Incident. You were not a member of the Sakura-Kai even at the time of the occurrence 17 of that incident, were you? 18 A No, I was not a member. 19 Therefore you have no knowledge of your own on the connection of the Sakura-Kai with the March 21 Incident? 22 A Not directly, but I heard of it from HASHIMOTO. 23 So then what you are stating under oath in 25 this affidavit is what HASHIMOTO told you in connection with the Sakura-Kai?

Anything prior to the March Incident I heard A from HASHIMOTO. Q Well, do you say also including the March 3 Incident? A Yes. Q Who was the founder of the Sakura-Kai? The founder was HASHIMOTO. A Did HASHIMOTO tell you that he took part in the plotting of the March Incident? I have not heard of it. 10 Who were some of the other members of the 11 12 Sakura-Kai? THE PRESIDENT: Put your question in some 13 other form, Mr. Tavenner. 14 Q I withdraw that question and I will ask 15 you if you attended -- if General NEMOTO was also 16 a member of the Sakura-Kai? 17 THE MONITOR: Will you please spell that 18 19 name cut, Mr. Tavenner? 20 MR. TAVENNER: N-E-M-O-T-O. 21 THE MONITOR: Thank you. 22 Yes. Did you understand from HASHIMOTO that NEMOTO 23 24 was one of the planners of the March Incident? 25 I have never heard of it.

Was Lieutenant Colonel TANAKA, Kiyose a 2 member of the Sakura-Ka1? Yes, he was. Do you know what part TANAKA played in the 5 March Incident? A No. A secret record of the Japanese Renovation 8 Movement was written in August, 1938 for the Section 9 for the Maintenance of Public Peace of the Bureau 10 of Police Affairs of the Home Ministry. This was introduced in evidence as prosecution document 12 and is exhibit 183. This secret record relates to the Cherry Society, the Sakura-Kai, and it states that The Cherry Society is an organization which has had great influence on the March Incident and the October Incident, ..." 17 In view of that finding do you now desire 18 to change your statement about the connection of the 19 Sakura-Kai Society with the March and October Incidents? 20 I don't know about the March Incident, but 21 it had relationship with the October Incident. It did have relation with the October Inci-24 dent, you state?

A Yes.

25

Q Now in your affidavit my recollection is that

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

you said it had no connection with the March Incident.
Do you still contend that?

A Yes.

Q Now you state in your affidavit also that Dr. OKAWA had no connection with the October Incident?

A Yes, I so stated.

Q Are you familiar with the record of the trial of Dr. OKAWA in 1934 for his participation in the May 15, 1932 Incident in which he set forth in detail his participation in the October Incident and in which he also stated that he received his orders from HASHIMOTO?

A I do not know.

Q How can you state under oath that OKAWA had no connection with the October Incident?

A The question is not clear to me.

Q On what information do you base your statement that OKAWA had no connection with the October Incident?

At that time I was in Tokyo and was related with the society -- the Sakura-Kai and its activities -- was on intimate terms and relationship with HASHI-MOTO and I can say very positively that he -- that is, OKAWA had no connection with the October Incident.

.

.

18

19

21

22

23

I believe you and HASHIMCTO were both arrested for your participation in the October Incident, were you not? A Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You state in your effidevit that civilians were not to be used in the October Incident because there was a leak of information through civilians in the March Incident. Now, as a matter of fact, wasn't it decided that there would be no civilian groups used in the October Incident except OKAWA's civilian group? No, that is not so. Do you know that Tanaka KIYOSE prepared a memorandum in 1932 in which he stated that OKAWA and his civilian group was the only civilian group utilized in that incident? I do not know. A Were you familiar with the testimony of OKAWA in his trial in 1934 as to the part that he claimed he played in the October Incident? I do not know.

OKAWA spent a great deal of time in making propaganda speeches throughout Japan over a period of several years prior to the October Incident in which he was endeavoring to arouse the people in regard to the Manchurian problem, as he called it?

A Before I went to the General Staff office I was battalion commander at Matsue, and before that

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

I was in China for some time, but I have never heard of OKAWA conducting or carrying on propaganda speeches then or while I was serving in Matsue.

- O Do you know the place called K-e-n-r-y-u-t-e-r Inn in Tokyo?
 - A Yes, I have heard of the name.
- O Did you accompany HASHIMOTO to that place and meet Doctor OKAWA there?
 - A I have no recollection.
- O Do you ever recall seeing Doctor OKAWA there?
 - A No. I cannot recall.
- In paragraph 8 of your affidavit you make the statement that Colonel HASHIMOTO had never met ITAGAKI, DOHIHARA, ISHIHARA, et cetera, of the Kwantung Army at or about the time of the Manchurian Incident. To what other persons are you referring when you use the expression "and so forth" or "et cetera"?
- I use the word ra there because there were three men.
- I am not asking you about the three men.

 That is perfectly plain. I am asking you about the language "et cetera." To whom were you referring?

MR. HAYASHI: I wish to make an explanation concerning the question that has just been

21

22

24

asked.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, I prefer to have the explenation by the witness.

MR. H/YASHI: In the Japanese language when the names of over two persons are listed, it is customary to put the word ra after it. That does not mean that there were others referred to by that word.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, does that affect your attitude?

MR. TAVENNER: I would much prefer to have had the answer from the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: We must hear counsel when they come to the lectern.

A It is exactly as defense counsel has just explained.

O Thank you.

Now, you don't know of your own know]edge whether or not HASHIMOTO had met ITAGAKI, DOHIHARA, OR ISHIHARA prior to April 1931, do you?

I am a very intimate friend of HASHIMOTO.

If I should meet him he would tell me everything.

THE MONITOR: If he should meet them he would surely tell me about it.

Then, your testimony is based upon the fact

that HASHIMOTO didn't tell you whether or not he had met those three individuals?

- A Yes.
- Q Did HASHIMOTO tell you about the directions he gave OKAWA?
 - 1 Such direction was never given.
 - Q How do you know?
- A HASHIMOTO has pledged to me he will never use civilians.
- on what Mr. HASHIMOTO told you.

Now, you also state in your affidavit that there was no occasion at which the liaison was established with these people, that is ITAGAKI, DOHIHARA, and ISHIHARA by means of telephone, telegram, or any other means. Please tell us the basis of that statement by you.

At the time of the October Incident I was arrested and sent and put up at a Japanese inn in Utsunomiya. At that place and at that time and at the same place there were two other army officers, namely, I ieutenant AMANO and Lieutenant NODA, put up at the inn, and I was held there for fifteen days. This I ieutenant HASHIMOTO -- AMANO was assigned to the same section in the General Staff as

 HASHIMOTO and worked as a sort of secretary to HASHIMOTO. We spent fifteen days together at the same inn without any particularly -- without any business or anything to do, and AMANO told me everything in the course of our discussions on many and various subjects about the October Incident and about matters prior to the October Incident, and he told me that he, AMANO, had handled all telephone calls, telegrams, and letters sent out by HASHIMOTO, and told me very positively that there was no such case as his having communicated with such people.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600 en adjournment was taken until Thursday, April 10, 1947 et 0930.)

,